

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/050,644	LI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew L Oltmans	1742	

All Participants:

(1) Mr. Andrew L Oltmans (Examiner).

(2) Mr. Stephen B. Ackerman (Applicant's Rep.).
Status of Application: Non-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

45-83

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called applicant representative to obtain permission for an examiner's amendment (see attached) to cancel non-elected claims that were elected with traverse in the Paper filed July 24, 2003. Applicant's representative agreed to the examiner's amendment.